UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,552	03/20/2007	Alan Wilson	P08943US00/RFH	6221
881 STITES & HAF	7590 05/02/201 RBISON PLLC	1	EXAMINER	
	FAIRFAX STREET		AYCHILLHUM, ANDARGIE M	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2835	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2011	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

iplaw@stites.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/581,552	WILSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANDARGIE M. AYCHILLHUM	2835
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18.  2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ Th  3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 June 2006 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/581,552 Page 2

Art Unit: 2835

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 42 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 42 lines 2-3, the term "formed by laser machining said component blank" is repeated same limitations twice on the same claim number. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/581,552 Page 3

Art Unit: 2835

5. Claims 1-4, 7-17, 22-30, 33-34, 37-39 and 42- 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hailey (US 6,349,038 B1) in view of Andoh et al. (US 2004/0089471 A1).

Pertaining to claims 1, 25 and 26, Hailey discloses an electrical component (see fig. 4A), involving: bonding a thin metal foil (302, see fig. 4) to an insulating substrate (300, see fig. 3) and thereby forming a component blank having a metal face that comprises a surface of said metal foil (302); the metal foil (302) of said component blank to produce at least one trench (306, see figs. 3-4a) for defining one or more foil tracks, said trench being at least equal in depth (see figs. 3-4a) to the thickness of the foil so as to prevent current flow across the trench; and filling said trench with a trench filling material (dielectric-filled moat 306, see column 5, lines 16-20) without overlaying said metal face with said trench filling material (dielectric-filled moat 306, see column 5, lines 16-20).

But, Hailey does not explicitly teach a laser machine.

However, Andoh et al. teaches a laser machine (see paragraph [0006], lines 12-14).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a laser machine for the manufacturing printed circuit board of Hailey based on the teachings of Andoh et al. in order to form a holes having

a minute diameter in accordance with a minute wiring pattern can be formed easily at a high speed or produce a trench for define foil tracks

Pertaining to claims 2 and 28, Hailey as modified by Andoh et al. further discloses including performing said laser machining by means of a laser (see paragraph [0006], lines 12-14 of Andoh et al.) with a cutting width (the width of the 306), and creating foil tracks with a spacing approximately equal to said cutting width (see figs. 3-4A).

**Pertaining to claims 4, 30 and 33,** Hailey as modified by Andoh et al.further discloses wherein said trench filling material is an insulating material (dielectric-filled moat 306, see column 5, lines 16-20).

**Pertaining to claim 7,** Hailey as modified by Andoh et al.further discloses said trench filling material (dielectric-filled moat 306, see column 5, lines 16-20) is a dielectric material and said electric component is a sensor that responds to changes in said dielectric material (dielectric-filled moat 306, see column 5, lines 16-20).

**Pertaining to claim 8,** Hailey as modified by Andoh et al. further discloses electrical component (see fig. 4A) is a foil sensor, and said method further comprises forming said metal foil (302) from a parent foil that is substantially identical with the material of the structure to be monitored (see fig. 4).

**Pertaining to claim 9,** Hailey as modified by Andoh et al. further discloses laser machining said component blank to produce one or more back slots, said back slots being equal in depth to the full thickness of said component blank (see figs. 3-4)

**Pertaining to claims 12 and 13,** Hailey as modified by Andoh et al. further discloses preparing the metal foil (302) by machining a sample of parent material to a desired final thickness (see figs 3-4A).

**Pertaining to claim 14,** Hailey as modified by Andoh et al. further discloses preparing the metal foil (302) for said bonding by applying a chemically resistant film to a first face of said foil, and applying a bond enhancer to the other face of said foil, wherein said first face is ultimately the exposed face and said chemically resistant film protects said first face from said bond enhancer (see figs. 3-4A).

**Pertaining to claim 15,** Hailey as modified by Andoh et al. further discloses drying said foil and then removing said film (see figs. 3-4A).

**Pertaining to claim 16,** Hailey as modified by Andoh et al. further discloses chemically resistant film comprises a polyester tape (see paragraph [0368] of Hirose).

**Pertaining to claim 17,** Hailey as modified by Andoh et al. further discloses said insulating material is chosen to have an ablation rate that is sufficiently low to prevent unwanted penetration of the substrate (see figs. 3-4A) during machining to remove said

Art Unit: 2835

foil (see figs. 3-4A).

**Pertaining to claims 22 and 34**, Hailey as modified by Andoh et al. further discloses said electrical component (see fig. 4A) is selected from the group of: a linear polarization resistance gauge; a corrosion sensor; a resistance sensor; a non-destructive testing sensor; a spiral inductor; a delay line; a capacitor; and a sensor responsive to changes in a dielectric: material (column 5, lines 26-32).

**Pertaining to claims 23 and 37**, Hailey as modified by Andoh et al. further discloses said trench with a ratio of depth to width of form 1:1 to 7:1, (see figs. 3-4A).

**Pertaining to claims 24 and 38**, Hailey as modified by Andoh et al. further discloses said trench with side walls that are substantially straight (see figs. 3-4A).

Pertaining to claims 27 and 42 and 45, Hailey further discloses an electrical component (see fig. 4A), involving: bonding a thin metal foil (302, see fig. 4) to an insulating substrate (300, see fig. 3) and thereby forming a component blank having a metal face that comprises a surface of said metal foil (302); laser machining at least the metal foil (302) of said component blank to produce at least one trench (306, see figs. 3-4a) for defining one or more foil tracks, said trench being at least equal in depth (see figs. 3-4a) to the thickness of the foil so as to prevent current flow across the trench; and filling said trench with a trench filling material (dielectric-filled moat 306, see column

5, lines 16-20) without overlaying said metal face with said trench filling material (dielectric-filled moat 306, see column 5, lines 16-20) applied without overlaying said metal face (see figs. 3-4a).

But, Hailey does not explicitly teach a laser machine.

However, Andoh et al. teaches a laser machine (see paragraph [0006], lines 12-14).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a laser machine for the manufacturing printed circuit board of Hailey based on the teachings of Andoh et al. in order to form a holes having a minute diameter in accordance with a minute wiring pattern can be formed easily at a high speed or produce a trench for define foil tracks

Pertaining to claim 39, Hailey as modified by Andoh et al. further discloses said substrate (see fig. 4) is formed of a material having a sufficiently low rate of ablation to prevent unwanted penetration of the substrate during machining (see figs. 3-4A).

**Pertaining to claim 3 and 29,** Hailey discloses all the claimed limitations except the minimum cutting width are fro 25 µm and the maximum value is 30 µm.

However, the width of a split of cutting width with respect to the first metallic conducting part and second metallic part is equal.

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary kill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the minimum value of the cutting width is 25 µm and the maximum value of the cutting width is 60 µm, since it has been held that

discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boeschu, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

**Pertaining to claims 10, 11 and 43,** Hailey discloses all the claimed limitations except the producing slots of approximately 150 μm lengths at 1.5 mm intervals.

However, producing slots and the length of the interval is equivalent to the metallic conducting part.

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary kill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the minimum value of the producing slot is 150 µm and the maximum value of the cutting lengths is 1.5 mm interval, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boeschu, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Pertaining to claim 44, Hailey discloses an electrical component (see fig. 4A), involving: bonding a thin metal foil (302, see fig. 4) to an insulating substrate (300, see fig. 3) and thereby forming a component blank having a metal face that comprises a surface of said metal foil (302); the metal foil (302) of said component blank to produce at least one trench (306, see figs. 3-4a) for defining one or more foil tracks, said trench being at least equal in depth (see figs. 3-4a) to the thickness of the foil so as to prevent current flow across the trench;

But, Hailey does not explicitly teach a laser machine.

Art Unit: 2835

However, Andoh et al. teaches a laser machine (see paragraph [0006], lines 12-14).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a laser machine for the manufacturing printed circuit board of Hailey based on the teachings of Andoh et al. in order to form a holes having a minute diameter in accordance with a minute wiring pattern can be formed easily at a high speed or produce a trench for define foil tracks.

5. Claims 5-6 and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hailey (US 6,349,038 B1) and Andoh et al. (US 2004/0089471 A1) as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Hirose et al. (US 2004/0025333 A1).

Pertaining to claim 5 and 31, Hailey discloses said insulating material (dielectric-filled moat 306, see column 5, lines 16-20)

But, Hailey does not explicitly teach if the insulating material is made of polymer. However, Hirose et al. teaches the insulating material is made of polymer.

Therefore, it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the insulating material of Hailey out of polymer based on the teachings of Hirose et al. in order to have relatively low melting points and low cost.

Pertaining to claim 6 and 32, Hailey as modified by Andoh et al. and Hirose et al. further disclose wherein said polymer comprises an epoxy resin (see paragraph [0040], lines 6-7 of Andoh et al.).

6. Claims 18-21, 35-36 and 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hailey (US 6,349,038 B1), Andoh et al. (US 2004/0089471 A1) and Hirose et al. (US 2004/0025333 A1) as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Chen et al. (US 2005/0116718 A1).

**Pertaining to claims 18 and 40,** Hailey as modified by Andoh et al and Hirose et al. disclose said insulating substrate (300, see fig. 3 of Hailey).

But, Hailey does not explicitly teach if the insulating material is comprises a plurality of layers of fiberglass prepreg.

However, Chen et al. teaches the insulating material is comprises a plurality of layers of fiberglass prepreg (see paragraph [0007]).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the insulating substrate of Hailey comprise a plurality of layers of fiberglass prepreg based on the teachings of Chen et al. in order to provide a non-conductive structure for mounting components.

**Pertaining to claims 19, 20 and 41**, Hailey as modified by Chen et al. further discloses said electrical component is a foil sensor, and the method further comprises

Art Unit: 2835

preparing said component blank by coating said component blank on the surface comprising the ultimate sensor (see paragraph [0007] of Chen et al.) side of said sensor blank with a chemically resistant coating solution, to protect said surface from contamination during sensor processing (see paragraph [0007] of Chen et al.).

**Pertaining to claims 21 and 35**, Hailey as modified by Chen et al. further discloses electrical component comprises two or more different types of foil sensors (see paragraph [0007] of Chen et al.).

**Pertaining to claim 36,** Hailey discloses all the claimed limitations except the metal foil has a thickness in the range of 15 to 200  $\mu m$ .

However, the range of metal foil with respect to the first metallic conducting part and second metallic part is equal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary kill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the metal foil thickness with the range of 15 to 200 µm, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boeschu, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDARGIE M. AYCHILLHUM whose telephone number is (571) 270-1607. The examiner can normally be reached on (Mon-Fri from 8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jinhee Lee can be reached on 571-272-1977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yuriy Semenenko/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2835

A.A. April 15, 2011 Application/Control Number: 10/581,552

Page 13

Art Unit: 2835